AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Pereatise order: 1:22-10/11/40 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Erick Pastrana-Bahena Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00140-RJJ
		m Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Find	lings of Fact
(1)		18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of it would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is do	eath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of to	en years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	lves:
	the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed who r local offense.	le the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presump person or the community. I further find that defendant	tion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative	Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	as committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sec	
(=)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and	
<b>√</b> (1)	Alternative There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appea	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of th	e Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
2. Defer	idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	t be released in any case.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 23, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge